

Problem set 6*January 19, 2017*

Due: Feb 2 (optional)

- Please submit the handout in class, or email me, in case you write in \LaTeX .
- Write clearly and shortly using sub claims if needed. The emphasize in most questions is on the proofs (no much point is writing a “solution” w/o proving its correctness).
- For Latex users, a solution example can be found in the course web site.
- It is ok to work in (small) groups, but please write the id list of your partners in the solution file, and each student should write her solution by *herself* (joint effort is only allowed in the “thinking phase”).
- The notation we use appears in the introduction part of the first lecture (*Notation* section).

1. Consider the following variant of construction 19 in Lecture 9 (Encryption Schemes).

Let (G_T, f, Inv) be a (non-uniform) TDP, and let b be hardcore predicate for it.

Construction 1 (bit encryption).

- $G(1^n)$: output $(e, d) \leftarrow G_T(1^n)$.
- $E_e(m)$: choose $r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ conditioned that $b(r) = m$, and output $f_e(r)$ (output m if no such r exists).
- $D_d(y)$: output $b(\text{Inv}_d(y))$.

(a) Describe a PPT E' such that $\text{SD} \left((e, E'_e(m))_{(e, \cdot) \leftarrow G(1^n)}, (e, E_e(m))_{(e, \cdot) \leftarrow G(1^n)} \right) \leq \text{neg}(n)$, for every $m \in \{0, 1\}$.

(b) Prove that (G, E', D) has public-key indistinguishable encryptions for a multiple messages.

2. Assume we change Algorithm 30 in Lecture 8 so that j in Step 1 is always set to 0 (rather than being chosen at random). Is Claim 31 still true?