

**Problem set 1***November 9, 2016*

Due: November 24

- Please submit the handout in class, or email me, in case you write in  $\text{\LaTeX}$
- Write clearly and shortly using sub claims if needed. The emphasize in most questions is on the proofs (no much point is writing a “solution” w/o proving its correctness)
- For Latex users, a solution example can be found in the course web site.
- In it ok to work in (small) groups, but please write the id list of your partners in the solution file, and each student should write his solution by *himself* (joint effort is only allowed in the “thinking phase”)
- The notation we use appear in the introduction part of the first lecture (*Notation* section).

1. Prove that the existence of one-way functions implies  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$ .

Guideline: for any poly-time computable function  $f$  define a set  $L_f \in \mathcal{NP}$  such that if  $L_f \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $f$  is invertible (by poly-time algorithm)

2. Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be distributions over a finite set  $\mathcal{U}$ .

- (a) Prove that  $\text{SD}(P, Q) = \max_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{U}} (P(\mathcal{S}) - Q(\mathcal{S}))$  (recall that  $\text{SD}(P, Q) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} |P(u) - Q(u)|$ ).
- (b) Use (a) to prove that  $\text{SD}(P, Q) = \max_{\text{D}} \{ \Pr_{x \leftarrow P}[\text{D}(x) = 1] - \Pr_{x \leftarrow Q}[\text{D}(x) = 1] \}$ , where the max is taken over all deterministic algorithms.<sup>1</sup>

3. Let  $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be distribution ensembles.

- (a) Given that  $\mathcal{Q} \stackrel{c}{\equiv} \mathcal{P}$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is computationally indistinguishable from  $\mathcal{P}$ ) and  $\mathcal{P} \stackrel{c}{\equiv} \mathcal{R}$ , prove that  $\mathcal{Q} \stackrel{c}{\equiv} \mathcal{R}$ .
- (b) Give an example for ensemble  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  such that:
- $\text{Supp}(Q_n) = \text{Supp}(P_n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and
  - $\text{SD}(Q_n, P_n) = 1 - \text{neg}(n)$ ; i.e.,  $\forall p \in \text{poly}, \exists n' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{SD}(Q_n, P_n) > 1 - \frac{1}{p(n)}$  for every  $n > n'$ .

4. Refute the following conjecture:

For every length-preserving one-way function  $f$ , the function  $f'(x) = f(x) \oplus x$  is one-way.

5. Prove that the existence of pseudorandom generators implies the existence of one-way functions.

6. (a) Let  $\{X_n, Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be distribution ensemble, where  $\text{Supp}(X_n) = \{0, 1\}$  and  $\text{Supp}(Z_n) = \{0, 1\}^n$  (i.e.,  $X_n$  is a bit and  $Z_n$  is an  $n$ -bit string). Assume there exists a PPT  $A$ , function  $\varepsilon: \mathbb{N} \mapsto [0, 1]$  and set  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$\Pr[A(Z_n) = X_n] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon(n)$$

for every  $n \in \mathcal{I}$ . Prove there exists PPT  $B$  such that

$$\Pr[B(Z_n, X_n) = 1] - \Pr[B(Z_n, U_1) = 1] \geq \varepsilon(n)$$

for every  $n \in \mathcal{I}$ , where  $U_1$  is uniformly distributed over  $\{0, 1\}$  (independently, of  $(X_n, Z_n)$ ).

- (b) Use (a) to show that if  $b$  is *not* a hardcore predicate of  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \mapsto \{0, 1\}^n$ , then  $(f(U_n), b(U_n))$  is computationally distinguishable from  $(f(U_n), b(U_1))$  — there exists a PPT that distinguishes between  $\{(f(x), b(x))\}_{x \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{(f(x), c)\}_{x \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n, c \leftarrow \{0, 1\}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $1/p(n)$  advantage, for some  $p \in \text{poly}$ , for infinitely many  $n$ 's.

<sup>1</sup>The statement holds also for randomized algorithms, but require an additional step.

7. Let  $f$  be a one-way function. Prove that for any PPT  $A$ , it holds that

$$\Pr_{x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n, i \leftarrow [n]} [A(f(x), i) = x_i] \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2n},$$

for large enough  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $x_i$  is the  $i$ 'th bit of  $x$ .

**Bonus\*** : prove the above when replacing the term  $1 - \frac{1}{2n}$  with  $1 - \frac{1}{n}$ .